

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. HERO-1-1089 6810 02/02/2000 09/496,893 Stephen J. Brown **EXAMINER** 7590 02/25/2004 MARK D. BYRNE, MARSCHEL, ARDIN H **BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM PLLC** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **816 SECOND AVENUE** SEATTLE, WA 98104 1631

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/496,893	09/496,893 BROWN, STEPHEN J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ardin Marschel	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tin NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10 November 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>37-52</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>37-52</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

,—	·
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∐ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the	attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified conies not received

Attachment(s)

1) 🔯	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🔲	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 09/496,893

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 11/10/03, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

LACK OF ENABLEMENT

Claims 37-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in Exparte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986) and reiterated by the Court of Appeals in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 at 1404 (CAFC 1988). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount or direction presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,893

. . . .

Art Unit: 1631

The Board also stated that although the level of skill in molecular biology is high, the results of experiments in genetic engineering are unpredictable. While all of these factors are considered, a sufficient amount for a prima facie case are discussed below.

It is well known that the Human Genome Project has revealed that the number of human genes are in the range of 30,000. Even this number, however, is controversial. Applicants' invention is directed to the clustering of individuals into groups based on responses to queries and then determining gene differences between groups in order to determine gene differences for identifying disease-influencing genes. It is also well known that a multitude of polymorphisms exists in human genes caused by random mutations, such as caused by environmental factors such as chemicals or cosmic rays. These complications results in an unpredictable length and difficulty in a research project that simply clusters individuals via queries regarding their behavior or other characteristics to then isolate or focus on one or more disease-influencing gene(s), even if guided by disease risk factors. It is known that some genetic seguences are correlated with particular diseased individuals, but that each of these sequences was illucidated by lengthy research projects where the finding of the gene sequence was difficult and unpredictable. Thus, the clustering of individuals, which has been known for many diseases already has not predictably resulted in gene identification, nor will the practice of the instant invention predictably result in the identification of diseaseinfluencing gene(s). The publication by Doberstein et al. is cited regarding paragraphs 0003 - 0008 to support the numerous difficulties involved in relating gene sequences to other factors even utilizing modern bioinformatics tools.

Art Unit: 1631

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571)272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571)272-0549 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

February 20, 2004

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER